

To: McClintock, Katie[McClintock.Katie@epa.gov]
Cc: Stifelman, Marc[Stifelman.Marc@epa.gov]
From: Wroble, Julie
Sent: Sat 3/5/2016 1:29:12 AM
Subject: RE: Portland SE Results.xlsx

Initial look seems very low, but looking only at chemical (not RAD) effects. I'm assuming no Geiger counter's been used yet.

From: McClintock, Katie
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2016 5:28 PM
To: Wroble, Julie <Wroble.Julie@epa.gov>
Cc: Stifelman, Marc <Stifelman.Marc@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Portland SE Results.xlsx

Yes. They had made a glass that contained uranium at one point. Really freaked people out thinking there was radiation right now.

Katie

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 4, 2016, at 5:26 PM, Wroble, Julie <Wroble.Julie@epa.gov> wrote:

Do you know why they sampled Uranium in soil? At least I'm assuming that's what U is.

From: McClintock, Katie
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2016 4:51 PM
To: Wroble, Julie <Wroble.Julie@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Portland SE Results.xlsx

Thanks it didn't show on last email on the phone. Got it now. Thanks! With my phone I have much more productive bus rides!

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 4, 2016, at 4:47 PM, Wroble, Julie <Wroble.Julie@epa.gov> wrote:

I just think I sent mine to you (with Means and RSLs) I haven't done anything with soil data as they had some analysis done. Happy to have you post mine – here it is again.

From: McClintock, Katie
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2016 4:46 PM
To: Wroble, Julie <Wroble.Julie@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Portland SE Results.xlsx

Actually we have the plan, I'll look at the map. You too! Can you send me your version? I'm gonna post on one drive unless you think I shouldn't. I can do their version of you would rather, but this is good info for all to have!

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 4, 2016, at 4:45 PM, Wroble, Julie <Wroble.Julie@epa.gov> wrote:

I don't know where samples are being collected but Paul thinks we'll get that with the plans/figures soon.

Talk to you next week.

I've added means and RSLs to air table.

From: McClintock, Katie
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2016 4:41 PM
To: Wroble, Julie <Wroble.Julie@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Portland SE Results.xlsx

Thanks for sending. These are so great to see. Noticeable drop off. There wasn't a day where total chrome was less than 20 if I remember correctly, during October. Cadmium is also significantly lower with no peaks.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

I look forward to pooling over the numbers more myself. Do you know if they sampled in the exact spot in the Fred Meyer parking lot again? I think the daycare is slightly south of the corporate building. I assume that is the 'Portland daycare' they refer to.

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 4, 2016, at 4:35 PM, Wroble, Julie <Wroble.Julie@epa.gov> wrote:

Hex chrome exceeds 1E-06, but not 1E-05 risk level. Levels have dropped so maybe prior process was to blame?

Looking forward to digesting later.

From: BORISENKO Aaron [<mailto:BORISENKO.Aaron@deq.state.or.us>]

Sent: Friday, March 04, 2016 4:21 PM

To: Wroble, Julie <Wroble.Julie@epa.gov>

Subject: Portland SE Results.xlsx

Here you go. Aaron

<Portland SE Results.xlsx>

<Copy of Portland SE Results_JW.xlsx>

<Copy of Portland SE Results_JW.xlsx>